Blast induced brain injuries – a grand challenge in TBI research
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The US government has initiated the largest coordinated research programs ever in neurotrauma. The specific focus is to get insight in mechanisms and to provide better treatment for blast induced traumatic brain injuries (BINT, Blast Induced Neuro Trauma) (Ling et al., 2009). The background should probably be well known for anyone working in the field of traumatic brain injuries (TBI). The asymmetric warfare in the recent military conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan has resulted in numerous attacks with Improvised Explosive Devices (IED) and large losses in terms of deaths and wounded soldiers (Warden, 2006; Terrio et al., 2009). TBI has been identified as major health problem in military personnel returning from service. The injuries range from severe multitrauma to a number of mild TBI that still has to be settled. A large number of research groups with different backgrounds contribute to this effort. In addition, a number of other countries have also ongoing research programs on blast induced brain injuries.

The current research programs are so large and well coordinated so that it is tempting to compare with Apollo Program about 50 years ago. Apart from putting man on the moon that program had several positive spinoff effects in many areas, i.e. in electronics. Similar spinoff effects might come in from the current programs. It would be logical that these programs can generate important new knowledge in treatment of mildTBI, diffuse axonal injuries (DAI), the role of inflammation in TBI, neuroprotection, rehabilitation of TBI and acute oedema treatment. Many groups are working with advanced computerized mathematical models of the head to provide understanding of propagation of blast waves. Such models will also be important for the design of better injury prevention in road traffic accidents. More detailed criteria for different types of TBI would also be important tools for improvements of safety in vehicles. We can also expect a lot of data from research on more specific biomarkers for TBI (Wang et al., 2005; Agoston et al., 2009) and evaluation of digital EEG to indentify functional changes. New imaging techniques may get an increasing power to identify DAI and regional vascular perfusion defects after TBI.

To mention a few of the specific problems in BINT:

• Propagation of blast waves is very complex. It could involve both direct propagation through the skull and indirect propagation via blood vessels (Cernak and Noble-Haeusslein, 2010). If the latter mechanism is important, we could expect effects from vascular disturbance. Several lines of evidence seem to point in that direction.

• Is BINT a specific type injury that will require specific and new types of treatment? Or is, for example, the mild TBI from blast exposure more like a classical type of concussion injury (Hoge et al., 2009)?

• Is it possible to identify a reliable borderline to mild BINT and posttraumatic stress syndrome (PTSD)? Many of the symptoms are similar and many patients might suffer from both TBI and PTSD (Jaffe and Meyer, 2009).

• Is BINT an entirely new problem? The shellshock syndrome (Anderson, 2008) that was seen after the enormous artillery battles during World War I had similarities to BINT and post BINT symptoms, but for many years it has been regarded as PTSD rather than physical injuries. The new situation with IED is that the explosive often detonates at short distance and that improved body armour and helmets protect much better against penetration from fragments. Could the new body armour also change the physical parameters of the blast wave, in a similar way that is described as BABT (behind armour blunt trauma) (Drobin et al., 2007).

• Although the epidemiology of BINT has been established in terms of approximate numbers of injured, it is very difficult to assess the injury mechanisms in individual cases. Complex propagation and reflection of the primary supersonic blast wave, effects from acceleration and rotation, effects from impact of fragments, effects from heating, effects from emitted gases and electromagnetic waves. Well-designed experimental models are required as well as data from acceleration probes and pressure sensors that have been mounted into helmets and body armour will increase the knowledge of the critical mechanisms.

Tragic experience from military conflicts has on previous occasions generated valuable medical knowledge. During the Roman Empire the army physicians learned the lesson not to close contaminated wounds and they also developed an early set of ethical rules, which also included treatment of wounded enemies. We could also mention the Crimean war and its impact on nursing and sanitary principles as well as a war between Russia and Sweden, that resulted in the foundation of the Karolinska institute to provide better training for Army Surgeons, exactly 200 years ago. During more recent years, the careful examination of TBI patients from the Vietnam War has generated very important general knowledge (Raymont et al., 2008; Koenigs and Grafman, 2009).

Thus, it is a grand challenge to extract all useful information from the current research program on blast induced brain injuries. We can expect to see a number of articles dealing with that problem in this journal.
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